

Using Machine-Learning to Discern the Antimicrobial Resistance Profile of Microbes



Date: 14-09-2023



Dr. Manish Kumar (Associate Professor & Head) Department of Biophysics, University of Delhi South Campus, New Delhi, India



### Background

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one of the most **serious public health threats of the twenty-first century**. A systematic review published recently in the *The Lancet* reveals, its global impact is **far greater than many infectious diseases such as malaria and AIDS**.

Resistance to antimicrobial agents has become a major source of **morbidity and mortality worldwide**.

Antibiotic resistance remains a public health threat during the **Coronavirus disease** 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. The ongoing (COVID-19) pandemic has further



Timeline of discovery of antibiotics and resistance



#### Drug-resistance infections mortality



Global distribution of 10 million deaths expected by 2050 due to antimicrobial resistance

#### Emergence of AMR in Bacteria

 Bacterial resistance is considered a major concern in healthcare organizations. Specially gram-negative bacteria are a leading cause of life-threatening infections and include nosocomial infections (NI), urinary tract infections (UTIs), nosocomial pneumonia (NP), and other inflammatory diseases.



Evolution of AMR

Weapons of super-bacteria

community

### To design an *in-silico* resource to discern diversity of antibiotic resistance genes in various -omics datasets



Pandey D. et. al. Biology Methods &

#### **Problem Statement & Genesis of Present**

#### Resource

 The prominent ones being Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database (ARDB), Comprehensive β-lactamase Molecular Annotation Resource (CBMAR), ResFinder, Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD), Resfams, Metagenomic Markov models for Antimicrobial Resistance Characterization (Meta-MARC), Antimicrobial Resistance Gene Finder (AMRFinderPlus) etc.

#### Limitations associated with previous methods such as:

- 1. ARDB is no longer updated (Last Update: 2009), and its data is incorporated in the CARD database.
- 2. Resfams is a database of hidden Markov models (HMMs) developed using the 166 protein families associated with antibiotic resistance (Last Update: 2014).
- 3. Meta-MARC is based on hierarchical HMMs, which can predict AMR in metagenomic data (either a short read or a longer assembled contig) into resistance class, group, and mechanism. But Meta-MARC result indicated **high false positive prediction and no user-friendly interface is available**.
- 4. AMRFinderPlus identifies acquired AMR genes and resistance-associated point mutations in protein or assembled nucleotide sequences. **But this tool is difficult for non-programmers**.
- 5. CARD identifies and annotates ARGs using **BLAST**. **But sequence alignment methods like BLAST work well in comparing sequences with a high degree of similarity (60% or higher) but do not identify a distant homolog.**
- 6 Also we found that several resources can **identify/characterize resistance**



# Classification of whole sequences

Given:

- a set of classes C and
- a number of example sequences in each class, train a model so that for an unseen sequence we can say to which class it belongs
- Example:
  - Given a set of protein families, find family of a new protein
  - Given a sequence of packets, label session as intrusion or normal
  - Given several utterances of a set of words, classify a new utterance to the right word

### **Markov Chains**



States : Three states - sunny, cloudy, rainy.

### **Hidden Markov Models**



Hidden states : the (TRUE) states of a system that may be described by a Markov process (e.g., High of low pressure systems).

**Observable states** : the states of the process that are `visible' (e.g., weather).

### **Components Of HMM**

Output matrix : containing the probability of observing a particular observable state given that the hidden model is in a particular hidden state.

**Initial Distribution** : contains the probability of the (hidden) model being in a particular hidden state at time t = 1.

State transition matrix : holding the probability of a hidden state given the previous hidden state.

### Multiple alignment ACA---ATG TCAACTATC ACAC--AGC AGA---ATC $\begin{array}{c} A \ C \ C \ G \ - \ - \ A \ T \ C \end{array}$ Consensus: [AT] [CG] [AC] [ACGT]\* A [TG] [GC] How to distinguish: TGCT--AGG

# **Protein Profile HMMs**

- Motivation
  - We want an efficient representation of motives.
- What is a Profile?
  - Patterns of conservation, some positions are more conserved than the others

### **Components Of HMM**

Output matrix : containing the probability of observing a particular observable state given that the hidden model is in a particular hidden state.

**Initial Distribution** : contains the probability of the (hidden) model being in a particular hidden state at time t = 1.

State transition matrix : holding the probability of a hidden state given the previous hidden state.

### **Multiple alignment**



# **Protein Profile HMMs**

- Motivation
  - We want an efficient representation of motives.
- What is a Profile?
  - Patterns of conservation, some positions are more conserved than the others

# Protein profile representation

#### Alignment

HCK HUMAN/80-135	IIVVALYDYEAIHHEDLSFOKODOMVVLEES.GEWWKARSLATRKEOYIPSNYVARV
LYN HUMAN/65-120	DIVVALYFYDGINFODLSFKKGERNKVLEEN.GENWKAKSLLTKKEGFIPSNYVAKL
BLE MOUSE/54-109	REVVALEDYAAVNDRDLOVLKSEKLOVLRST.GDWWLARSLVTGREGYVESHEVAEV
5864 DRCHE/98-154	RVVVALYDYKSRDESDLSYMOGDRMEVIDDTESDWWRVVNLTTRGEGLIPLNFVAEE
CREL HUMAN/126-181	EYVRTLYDFPGNDAEDLPFKRGEILVIIEKPEEOWNSARNKDGRVGNIPVPYVEKL
MCRI HUMAN/193-250	HVVQALYPFSSSN., DEELNFERGDVMDVIEKPEND, PENWECRKING, MVGLVPKNYVTVM
MY53 YEAST/1124-1161	FEFEAAYDFF03G.SSSELPLEKGDIVFISRDEPSOWSLAKILDGSKEGWVPTAYDTFY
ABL DROME/207-263	OLFVALYDFDAGG., ENOLSLKEGEOVRILSYNES, GENCEARSDSGN, VGRVPSNYVTPL
ABL1 HUMAN/64-119	NLFVALYDFVASG. DNTLSIT#GEKLRVLGYNHN. GEWCEAOTHNG OGWVPSNYITFV
SPEL DUGTI/36-92	YNYKAKYKYAASG., DTDISFEEKEINYVLEOFDE FWLKVVROKDH KEGLVPSNYVSKO
5581 YEAST/303-359	YEAKALYFYDADDDDAYEISFEONEILOVSDIEGRWWKARRANGETGIIPSNYVOLI
DRK DROME/1-56	MEAIAKHDFSATADOELSFERTOILKILDNEDDSHNYRAELDGKEGLIPSNYIENK
SENS CAEEL/1-56	MEAVAEHDFQAGS PDELSFKRGNTLKVLNKDED PHWYKAELDGN EGFIPSNYIRMT
CSK CHICK/12-68	TECIAKYNFRGTAEQDLPFSKGDVLTIVAVTKDPHWYKAKNKVGREGIIPANYVQKR
NCR1 HUMAN/5-59	VVVVARFDYVAQQEQELDIKKNERINLIDDSKSWWRVKNSMSKTGFVPSNYVERK
SPCA DROME/973-1027	ECVVALYDYTEKSPREVSNKKGDVLTLLNSNNKDWWKVEVNDROGFVPAAYIKKI
SPCA HUMAN/980-1034	ORVMALYDFOARSFREVTMERGDVLTLLSSINKDWWEVEARDHOGIVPAVYVERL
SCD2 SCHPO/27-64	EVIRALYDYTARK. ATEVSFARGDFFHVIGREND KAWYEVCNPAAG TRGFVFVSHFEEI
SEM1 YEAST/75-150	KVIKAKYSYQAQTSKELSFNEGEFFYVSGDEKDWYKASNPSTGKEGVVPKTYFEVF
SLA1 YEAST/72-130	KEVRALYDYEQVQNADEELTFHENDVFDVFDDKDADWLLVKSTVSNEFGFIPGNYVEPE
RSG1 BOVIN/279-336	REVEAILPYTEVP.DTDEISFLEGEMFIVHRELEDG.WHWVTNLRTDEQGLIVEDLVEEV
YEA7 CAEEL/194-250	FYGIAKFDYAFTQSDEMGLRIGDTVLISKEVDAEWFYGENONORTFGIVPSSYLDIK
STE4 SCHPO/3-58	FOTTAISDYERSS.NPSFLKFSAGDTIIVIEVLEDGNCDGICSEKRGWFPTSCIDSS
NCF1 HUMAN/159-213	QTYRAIADYERTSGSEMALSTGDVVEVVERSESGWWFCQMRARRGWIPASFLEPL
NCF1 HUNAN/229-283	EPYVAIKAYTAVEGDEVSLLEGEAVEVIHKLLDGMWVIREDDVTGYFPSMYLQKS
SCD2 SCHP0/126-183	LFGIVQFDFAAERFOELEAKAGEAIIIIARSNHENLVAKPIGRLGGPGLIPLSFIQLR
BEM1 YEAST/158-215	LYAIVLYDFRAERADELTTYVGENLFICAHENCEWFIARPIGRLGGPGLVPVGFV5II
SLA1 YEAST/356-413	KRGIVQYDFMAESQDELTIKSGDKVYILDDKKSKDWWMCQLVD5GKSGLVPAQFIEFV
NCF2 HUNA31/243-297	EAHRVLFGFVFET EEELQVMPGHIVFVLEKGHD INATVMFNG QKGLVPCNYLEPV
BOI2 YEAST/46-105	FRYIAINEYFERM EDELDMKFGDKIKVITDDEEYKDGWYFGRHLRTH EEGLYFVVFTQKI
BIII YEAST/496-553	GRSKVLYAYVORDDOEITITPGDKISLVARDTGSGRTKINNDTTGETGLVPTTYIRIS

#### Profile

Positions





No of matching states = average sequence length in the family PFAM Database - of Protein families (pfam.wustl.edu)



A HMM model for a DNA motif alignments, The transitions are shown with arrows whose thickness indicate their probability. In each state, the histogram shows the probabilities of the four bases.



No of matching states = average sequence length in the family PFAM Database - of Protein profiles (http://pfam.wustl.edu)



# Query a new sequence

Suppose I have a query sequence, and I am interested in which family it belongs to?



P (ACACATC) = 0.8x1 x 0.8x1 x 0.8x0.6 x 0.4x0.6 x 1x1 x 0.8x1 x 0.8 = 4.7 x 10<sup>-2</sup>

# Scoring

# P (ACACATC) = 0.8x1 x 0.8x1 x 0.8x0.6 x 0.4x0.6 x 1x1 x 0.8x1 x 0.8 = 4.7 x 10<sup>-2</sup>

log-odds for sequence 
$$S = \log \frac{P(S)}{0.25^L} = \log P(S) - L \log 0.25$$

$$log-odds(ACACATC) = 1.16 + 0 + 1.16 + 0 + 1.16 - 0.51 + 0.47 - 0.51 + 1.39 + 01.16 + 0 + 1.16 = 6.64.$$

# **PHMM Example**

GGWWRGdy.ggkkqLWFPSN IGWLNGynettgerGDFPGT PNWWEGql..nnrrGIFPSN DEWWQArr..deqiGIVPSK .tgqtr .sgqrr .sghr GEWWKAqs GDWWLArs G G S e G s G r s GD 1 G G E S 1 at r k e GDWWLAr GEWWKAk vtgr sskr s 1 e G s F 1 G e S .kngq.GW lttrqeGL GEW C EAqt S SDWWRVvn WRArd.kngqeGY WEFrsktvytpGY LPWWRArd S GYY Ε S EHWWKVkd.algnvGY S P IHWWRVqd.rngheGYVPSS KDWWKVev..ndrqGFVPAA VGWMPGlnertrqrGDFPGT

An alignment of 30 short amino acid sequences chopped out of a alignment of the SH-3 domain. The shaded area are the most conserved and were represented by the main states in the HMM. The unshaded area was represented by an insert state.



S

F P F P



# **Database Searching**

 Given HMM *M*, for a sequence family, find all members of the family in data base.

# **Multiple Alignments**

- Try every possible path through the model that would produce the target sequences
  - Keep the best one and its probability.
  - Output : Sequence of match, insert and delete states
- Viterbi alg. Dynamic Programming



# Advantages

- Characterize an entire family of sequences.
- Position-dependent character distributions and position-dependent insertion and deletion gap penalties.
- Built on a formal probabilistic basis
- Can make libraries of hundreds of profile HMMs and apply them on a large scale (whole genome)

#### Motivatio

- Thus, we have described a new *in-silico* tool for rapid monitoring, characterization, and surveillance of all bacterial antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) which named as Bacterial Antibiotic Resistance scan (BacARscan).
- This tool has the edge over its predecessors as it can also discern ARGs in short sequencing reads and fragmented contigs.
- BacARscan can be easily integrated into a user-defined ARG annotation pipeline for the detection of ARG variants in the microbial genomes.



#### Schema of the tool

#### **Workflow & Data**

#### **Statistics**



of BacARscan

		Number of Sequences					
S. No.	ARG Database	Before redundancy reduction	After redundancy reduction (Duplicates removed)				
1.	ARDB	7828	7825				
2.	ARG	1689	1601				
3.	CARD	2158	2155				
4.	CBMAR	3273	3273				
5.	INTEGRALL	11132	11132				
6.	RAC	6911	6911				
7.	TMLS	1983	1983				
8.	UCARE-DB	99	99				
9.	LAHEY CLINIC	3562	3562				
10.	RESFAM	3169	1745				
11.	RESFINDER	2156	2008				
12.	HMP	7828	7825				
13.	LACED	483	448				
14.	MVIR-DB	64711	61469				
15.	PASTEUR	1123	1123				
otal AR ge	ne/protein sequences	118105	113159				

Data Statistics of Antibiotics resistance gene/protein sequences retrieved from various ARG databases; before and after redundancy reduction

Pandey D. et. al. Biology Methods & Protocol; 2022

#### Functional annotation of protein (p) and nucleotide (n)



#### **Distribution of ARG HMM profiles into various antibiotic classes**. The numerical value indicates the number of HMM that inactivates the antibiotic

#### **ARGhmm profiles includes:**

- Class and subclass of antibiotics against which the query proteins/genes impart resistance
- Resistance mechanism
- Antimicrobial resistance spectrum
- AMR protein name & families
- Function of AMR genes
- UniProt ID against each ARGhmm

Pandey D. et. al. **Biology Methods & Protocol**; 2022

#### Comparison of Resfams and BacARscan profiles - HMM models on the basis of their resistance mechanism



	Benchmark D	atasets	Dataset-IV: Annotation of
Dataset-I:	Short sequence	Dataset-	ARGs in
<b>Evaluation</b>	shore sequence	III:Independent	different strains
dataset	reads	dataset	of ESKAPE
Positive dataset:	From back- translation of	1) 60 Penicillin-binding	pathogens Eive proteomos
Protein clusters $\geq 5$	positive and	peptidase	of each
sequences	negative data	2) 369 Non-antibiotic	organism of
Negative dataset:	Length: 100 nt	resistant bacterial	ESKAPE
Protein clusters < 5	Each sequence:	efflux <u>Pandey et al.</u> ,	Dataset VI: <sup>5</sup>
sequences	20 snort	<u>Sci. Rep. 2020)</u>	Clinical

#### **Dataset-V: Validation Dataset**

To benchmark BacARscan vis-a-vis other ARG prediction and annotation methods.

Source: CARD database (date: 29-07-2022) 4422 ARG sequences.

Short reads of 151nt length at 20x coverage were simulated. 100,000 short reads randomly selected for benchmarking.

#### Simulated Non-ARG short-read Data:

**Source:** 2 million short-reads from complete genome of a probiotic strain of Enterococcus faecium Strain T-110 (NCBI Genome Accession Number: CP006030) Natarajan et.al. (2015) The comparative evaluation was carried out among BacARscan, Meta-MARC, and ResFinder

metagenomic data **16 metagenomic** samples from human patients of cholecystectomy, six from human bile and five from gut and saliva each. (Kujiraoka et al., 2017: Frontiers in Microbiology). (DDBJ Accession: Pandey D. et. DRADOS 2934 Methods &

Protocol: 2022

# Performance of BacARscan (pARGhmm & nARGhmm)

#### **Dataset-I: Evaluation dataset**

Modules		рА	RGhmm			n	ARGhmm		
Paramete rs	True	Fals	Precis	F-	Tru e	Fals	Precisi	F-	
No. of top hits	Positi ve	Posit ive	ion(%)	measure (%)	Pos itiv e	Posi tive	on(%)	measur e (%)	
1	228	26	89.76%	94.60 <b>%</b>	231	23	90.94%	95.25%	
3	229	25	90.15%	94.82%	235	19	92.51%	96.11%	
5	234	20	<b>92.12</b> %	95.90%	237	17	93.30%	96.53%	
7	233	21	91.73%	95.68%	236	18	92.91%	96.32%	
9	232	22	91.33%	95.47%	240	14	94.48%	97.16%	
11	209	45	82.28%	90.28%	241	13	94.88%	97.37%	
13	182	72	71.65%	83.48%	240	14	94.48%	97.16%	
15	158	96	62.20%	76.69%	Pa <u>n</u> ggey Protoco	/ D <u>1.6</u> et ;2022	. 9₿. <b>₽0%/0</b>	g y9[8].91(1%)g d s	

&

Comparison of proposed method BacARscan with existing methods using homologous sequences											
Dataset-III:Independent dataset											
Method	Type of datasetTrueFalseTrueFalsedatasetNegativPositivNegativePositiveusedeeRate (%)Rate (%)										
BacARscan		54	06	90%	10%						
AMRFinderPl us	Penicillin- binding proteins (PBPs)	48	12	80%	20%						
Meta-MARC		51	09	85%	15%						
RGI-CARD		45	15	75%	25%						
Resfams		56	04	93.33%	6.67%						
BacARscan		366	23	94.08%	5.91%						
AMRFinderPl us	Non- antibiotic	352	37	90.48%	9.51%						
Meta-MARC	proteins	363	26	93.31%	6.68%						
RGI-CARD	(non-ARE)	298	91	76.60%	23.39%						
Resfams		365	Pande Protoco	y D. et. al. Bi l; 2022.83%	ology Methods 6.16%						

	E-	;	# of	#	of reads	opredicte Found)	d (Hits				
	Thresh old	d	reads	Ba	cARsca n	Meta- MARC	ResF ndei	i Perf BacARs the-s	ormance of can and other off- helf tools in		
	1e-6				58703	69294	88833	predic resista	ting antibiotic		
	1e-3	AF	Short		66802	77667	89580	) test set	of ARG short-read		
	Defaul t (10)	F	leads		78680	89778	99875	ō			
E-valu	e		# of F	lea	ds Predic	ted (Hits ARGs	Found	) & Unique			
Thresh Id	Tool	S	# of Read Predic	f Is ted	# of Unique ARGs	Fal Positiv (%	se e Rate 6)	True Negative Rate (%)	Performance of BacARscan and other off-		
	BacARs	scan	397	9	19	0.2	0%	99.80%	the-shelf tools		
	Meta-M	ARC	2233	1	56	1.12	2%	98.88%	in predicting		
1e-6	ResFin	der	1912	2	5	0.1	0%	99.90%	antiblotic		
	BacARs	scan	238		3	0.0	2%	<b>99.98%</b>	resistance in		
1e-20	Meta-M	ARC	9034	ł	18	0.4	6%	99.54%	an external		
	ResFin	der	1648	3	3	0.0	9%	99.91%			
	BacARs	scan	0		0	0		0	short road		
1e-50	Meta-M	ARC	0		0	C		0			
	ResFin	der	1500	)	3	0.0	8% <b>Pan</b>	deý99092ê/t.a	al. Bibibgy Methods &		
							Prot	ocol; 2022			



### **Dataset-IV:** Annotation of ARGs of 30 proteomes of

Comparison of prediction of ARGs and their resistance mechanism pattern between Resfams and BacARscan on ESKAPE pathogens Pandey D. et. al. Biology Methods & Protocol; 2022

#### Dataset VI: Clinical metagenomic data Comparative evaluation of prediction efficiency of BacARscan on metagenomic data



Kujiraoka, M. et al. Front. Microbiol. 8, 685

P: Patient Pandey D. et. al. Biology Methods & Protocol; 2022

(2017)



tool

- In the BacARscan web tool, a → user has the option to choose between query sequence type and nature of HMM-profiles (either 'Protein/pARGhmm' or 'Gene/nARGhmm').
- → The web platform of BacARscan can process 100 sequences at a

time

→

For

ersion

#### Assessment

Speed

- → 30 complete proteomes (6) **ESKAPE** organisms × 5 different strains) containing 1,28,305 **nearly 31 minutes** to complete the annotation of all **30 proteomes**, ~ one minute per proteome.
- → Intel(R) Xeon(R) 4 Core E5507 2.27 GHz processor with 6GB DDR4 RAM, 64-bit Red Hat Enterprise Linux operating system (Release 6.2).



#### Web Interface



<u>Web Link:</u> http://www.proteininformatics.org/mkumar/bacarscan/ Github Link: Pandey D. et. al. Biology Methods & Protocol; 2022

#### Conclusions

- BacARscan: *in-silico* ARG annotation resource that can be used for rapid monitoring, surveillance, and characterization of antibiotic resistance determinants in both genomics and proteomic datasets.
- Current version of BacARscan supports prediction using 254 ARG families.
- Comparison with other *in-silico* resources like AMRFinderPlus, Meta-MARC, Resfams, and CARD revealed that BacARscan's ability to discern ARGs in -omics datasets was much more significant than its predecessors. Also it indicated less false positive prediction of ARG by BacARscan vis-a-vis other methods.
- One of the most notable improvements of BacARscan over other ARG annotation methods is its ability to work on both genomes and short reads sequence libraries with equal efficiency and without any requirement for assembly of short reads.

#### Potential use of

#### **BacARscan**

- Can identify ARGs in an -omics (proteomics/genomics and metagenomic) datasets.
- BacARscan can also be combined with traditional surveillance and thus can complement the traditional methods of ARG annotation.

#### To develop a two-tier system to predict and categorize bacterial efflux-mediated antibiotic resistance proteins and their families



Pandey D. et. al. Scientific Reports; 2020 Pandey D. et. al. Nature Protocol Exchange; 2021

#### **Motivatio**

#### n

- Efflux proteins are present in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.
- Prokaryotic Efflux pumps are divided into five classes: Major facilitator superfamily (MFS), ATP -binding cassette (ABC) superfamily, Small multidrug resistance (SMR) family, Resistance- nodulation cell division (RND) superfamily, Multi- antimicrobial extension (MATE).
- Efflux protein pumps constitute between 6-18% of all the transporters present in any bacterial species. Efflux pumps might be specific for one substrate or may transport a range of structurally dissimilar compounds (including antibiotics of multiple classes). Efflux pumps were associated with multiple drug resistance (MDR) in bacteria.
- We could not find any *in-silico* tool that can discriminate bacterial antibiotic resistance efflux (ARE) proteins from efflux proteins which do not efflux out antibiotics (non-ARE), and/or can predict the family to
- BacEffluxPred: a machine-learning based two-tier *in-silico* tool that discriminates bacterial ARE proteins from non-ARE and also predicts its respective family.
- BacEffluxPred completes a prediction cycle in **two different tiers**.
- Tier-I: discrimination between ARE and non-ARE proteins
- Tier-II: prediction of ARE protein(s) family.

Pandey D. et. al. Scientific Reports; 2020 Pandey D. et. al. Nature Protocol Exchange; 2021 Workflo

#### **BacEffluxPred**



#### **Data Sources and**

#### Compilation



**Tier-I dataset compilation:** Numerical values indicate the number of proteins. ARE: antibiotic resistance efflux proteins, non-ARE: non-antibiotic resistance efflux proteins, non-efflux: non-efflux prokaryotic proteins, and non-EAR: non-efflux antibiotic resistance proteins.



**Tier-II dataset compilation:** Numerical values indicate the number of proteins. ABC, MFS, RND, MATE and SMR are efflux protein families.

Pandey D. et. al. Scientific Reports; 2020 Pandey D. et. al. Nature Protocol Exchange; 2021

#### Performance of SVM models at training and independent testing dataset during LOOCV at tier-I and II

Thre	Ti	er		Training Dataset					Independent Testing Dataset			
d		AC (%)	SEN (%)	SPE (%)	мс С	AUC	AC (%)	SEN (%)	SPE (%)	мс С	AU C	
-0.4	Tie	er-l	85.81	80.23	86.84	0.57	0.87	94.24	86.84	95.61	0.79	0.95
-0.4	т	ABC	92.13	88.24	93.06	0.77	0.96	93.75	100.00	92.00	0.85	0.96
-0.3	i	MFS	85.39	87.50	83.67	0.71	0.92	93.75	93.33	94.12	0.87	0.97
-0.4	r	RND	91.01	90.00	91.30	0.76	0.94	93.75	100.00	92.00	0.85	1.00
0.3	-	MAT	99.44	95.00	100.00	0.97	0.99	100.00	100.00	100.00	1.00	1.00
	П	E										

The overall performance of SVM models during LOOCV at tier-I and tier-II. AC, SEN, SPE, MCC and AUC represent accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, Matthew's correlation coefficient (MCC) and area under ROC curve (AUC)

The highly successful predictor will have MCC value near to 1, while opposite and random predictions have MCC value -1 and 0 respectively



Pandey D. et. al. Scientific Reports; 2020 Pandey D. et. al. Nature Protocol Exchange; 2021

#### Web Link: http://proteininformatics.org/mkumar/baceffluxpred

# To design an online tool for the prediction and classification of β-Lactamase in class, subclass, and family BREAKDOWN **ENZYMES** DEGRADE

ANTIBIOTIC

Pandey D. et al. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2022

#### Motivatio

n

 $\beta$ -lactams are the most commonly prescribed drug for treatment of Gram-negative bacterial infection. Despite 70 years of clinical use,  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics still remain at the forefront of antimicrobial chemotherapy. The resistance against  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics is due to development of a highly diverse group of enzymes, collectively called  $\beta$ -lactamases (BLs), that hydrolyze the amide bond of a  $\beta$ -Lactam ring to make it ineffective.

Over the years, several classification systems have been developed to classify BLs. However, the most popular schemes are:

(i) Ambler's classification scheme, which was based on the amino acid sequence similarity

(ii) Bush, Jacoby, and Medeiros classification scheme, which was based on substrate and inhibitor profiles

Ambler's classification scheme categorized BLs into **four classes: A, B, C, and D**. Class A, C, and D are also known as **serine BLs because they have an activesite serine to catalyze the hydrolysis**.

Class B BLs are known as Metallo  $\beta$ -Lactamases (MBLs) since they use zinc ions (Zn2+) for their activity.

MBLs are distinct from the serine BL in sequence, structure fold, and catalytic mechanism and they are further divided into three **subclasses**, **B1**, **B2**, **and B3**, based on **their active site geometry and overall homology**.

#### Motivatio

#### n

- Several screening tests have been developed to identify the family of BLs at both gene and whole genome levels. However, **these methods are resource and time-consuming**.
- An alternative approach for rapid annotation of BLs family is to use **computational methods**, which can quickly identify BLs genes/proteins and classify them into the family.
- The most popular computational approach is using BLAST search against either generalpurpose molecular biology databases such as NCBI NR/NT or UniProtKB/Swiss Prot or BL-specific databases such as BLDB, BLAD, LacED, ARDB, CARD, and our laboratory has also developed a database of β-lactamases named
- However, there are a few limitations of LactFP. The most critical limitation
  of LactFP was that it was developed using a dataset compiled in 2014. Over
  time information about new family members and many mutations in different
  families has been accumulated in the databases. Hence LactFP might not be
  capable of predicting all BL families correctly. This indicates that a tool capable of predicting
  more BL families is the need of the hour.
- However, most prediction methods except LactFP were restricted only to the prediction upto class level (e.g. βLact-Pred, CNN-BLPred, PredLactamase, or subclass (e.g. BlaPred). LactFP predicts the class, sub-class, and family of a BL protein on the basis of presence of a family-specific motif called fingerprint in the primary amino

acid sequence.

 $\beta$ -LacFamPred: a machine learning based classifier that can annotate BLs up to the family level.  $\beta$ -LacFamPred can be used on both genomic and proteomic data.

#### Workflow



workflow depicting the methodology used for developing  $\beta$ -LacFamPred

#### **Training Dataset**

The family-wise sequences of BLs were obtained from **BLDB & CBMAR databases**. The number of protein sequences in **each family was also augmented from CARD, UniProtKB, and NCBI NR databases**. Sequences of each family were manually curated **using literature and UniProtKB annotations**. We also removed the fragmented sequences from each family

Class	Sub-class	Total Families	Families with one sequence	Families with <5 sequences	Families with ≥5 sequences
А		64# + 13* = 77	17#	0	47# + 13* = 60
	B1	20# + 35* = 55	11# + 31* = 42	3*	9# + 1* = 10
В	B2	3# + 3* = 6	2# + 2* = 4	1*	1#
	В3	13# + 42* = 55	9# +38* = 47	1# + 3* = 4	3# +1* = 4
С		14# + 9* = 23	4#	0	10# + 9* = 19
D	Statistics	of BL families $2\# + 18^* = 20$	retrieved from C	BMAR and BLD	B databases.
BL famili	es with <b>less t</b>	han five sequ	ences or single	sequences wer	e also removed

from further studies. If multiple copies of identical sequences were present in a family, then all

except one sequence were removed.



The combined phylogeny of all 96 pHMMs displays the overall topology of the phylogeny of 96 HMMs, which further confirmed the evolution of all families divided into various groups. The inferred phylogeny displays the presence of four main classes A, B, C & D also seen as the relationship among the families in the tree which also support our study for making the conclusion of a family-wise prediction engine. To further assess the clear sight of relationships among the families we made a phylogeny of each class that also supports the class wise conservation of families.



#### **Benchmarking Independent**

#### Dataset

- → We used the reference bla gene sequences obtained from Lee et al. (Lee et al., 2015)
   <u>Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy</u>) for benchmarking.
- → These BL sequences were used to develop molecular probes for PCR-based methods to detect bla genes in various pathogenic isolates.
- → The total number of *bla* gene sequences were 1342, belonging to all four Ambler's classes, A-D, and 29 families of BLs.

#### Methodolog

#### **Construction of the β-LacFamPred HMMs**

- → Sequences of each BL family were multiply aligned using the Muscle 3.8 program at default parameters.
- → Using the hmmbuild function of the HMMER tool (version 3.1), we build HMM models of each family of

#### **Comparative Evaluation**

- → We compared the performance of β-LacFamPred with well-known ARG annotation methods: AMRFinderPlus, RGI-CARD, ResFinder, and Meta-MARC.
- → We have also included LactFP as it assigns the family of a BL sequence based on the presence of a

#### **Functional Annotation**

- → All 96 BL HMMs were annotated using (a) ARG databases, namely DeepARG ARGminer, CARD,
   ARDB, (b) UniProtKB, and (c) published research papers.
- → The annotation details mentioned with each HMM are resistance mechanisms, class, and name of antibiotic against which the family confers the resistance, family, class, subclass, and phenotypic information as per Jacoby and Bush classification scheme.
- → Each HMM was also tagged with the information of their action in terms of their spectrum, namely broad spectrum, extended spectrum, and narrow spectrum.

Contd	
-------	--

#### **Cross-validation and Performance Metrics**

→ To test the efficiency of each HMM in discriminating between the family and non-family members, we used the leave-one-out approach of cross-validation (LOOCV). The performance of methods was assessed using the standard evaluation metrics namely, precision, recall, accuracy, and F1 score.
Performance evaluation on homologous

#### Case Study #1

#### Performance evaluation on homologous dataset

- To further assess the capability of β-LacFamPred for identifying BL class, subclass, and families, we
  performed an additional independent evaluation using a Penicillin-Binding Proteins (PBPs) dataset. PBPs
  are membrane-associated proteins involved in the biosynthesis of peptidoglycan components of
  bacterial cell walls. PBP and BLs belong to the superfamily of serine penicillin-recognizing enzymes and
  have similar conserved protein folds.
- PBP and BLs are homologous proteins, but PBP does not provide antibiotic resistance against BLs. Also, BLs are considered to have evolved from penicillin-binding proteins. PBPs were not part of the dataset on which β-LacFamPred prediction models were developed.

Dut of CO DDD acquences, only four were wrongly predicted as BLs.-

#### Case Study #2

To confirm the discriminatory capability of  $\beta$ -lactamase, and non- $\beta$ -lactamase, we created a second independent dataset consisting of glyoxalase II, which belongs to the metallo-beta-lactamase (MBL) superfamily of proteins. The sequences of the glyoxalase II were retrieved from the UniProtKB database.

We found a total of 57 full-length sequences of glyoxalase II. At e-value 1e-15 none of the glyoxalase II sequences were predicted as BL. When e-value was increased to 1e-10, 1e-6 and 0.1 the number gradually increased to 17, 43 and 43 respectively. The result was consistent with previous work that had shown the requirement of more stringent e-value cutoff to reduce the number of false positive predictions (Gibson et al., 2015; McArthur et al., 2013; Zankari et al., 2012).

#### **Performance Comparison with Existing**

Method	Type of data	ТР	FP	M <del>∉≬</del> ho	dş⊧N	Precisio n (%)	Recall (%)	F- measure (%)	Accurac y
β-LacFamPred	Protein	132 0	22	37554	22	98.36 %	98.36 %	98.36 %	0.99
RGI-CARD	sequenc es	1115	227	37349	227	83.08%	83.08%	83.08%	0.98
AMRFinderPlu s		1026	316	37260	316	76.45%	76.45%	76.45%	0.99
LactFP		742	600	36976	600	55.29%	55.29%	55.29%	0.96
β-LacFamPred	Gene sequenc	133 7	5	37571	5	<b>99.62</b> %	<b>99.62</b> %	<b>99.62</b> %	0.99
Meta-MARC	es	1199	143	37433	143	89.34%	89.34%	89.34%	0.99
ResFinder		1242	100	37476	100	92.54%	92.54%	92.54%	0.99

Advantages and Limitations of Present and Previously Developed BL Family Prediction Method

Feature	LactFP	β-LacFamPred
Training data source	UniProtKB/TrEMBL	CBMAR, BLDB, CARD, UniProtKB, NCBI NR/NT
Total dataset	605	8060
Less than 5 sequence family used	Yes	No
One sequence family used	No	No
Similarity tool and threshold used	Blast (1e-4)	Blast (1e-6)
Total families	71	96
Benchmark data source	None	Lee et al. (2015)
Data redundancy threshold	Not mentioned	CD HIT (100%)
Tool used to develop prediction Model	Meme/Mast	НММ
Cross-validation method	Νο	Leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV)
Web Server	Yes	Yes
Input data	Only Protein sequences	Protein/Gene sequences

#### **Proteome-wide screening of β-Lactamases**

Recently (Y. Wang et al., 2021) developed a method deep learning-based method, DeepBL, for predicting and classifying BLs on the basis of their protein sequences.

To characterize the complete repertoire of BLs, they annotated all reviewed bacterial protein sequences (334542 in total) from the UniProtKB database.

Ambler's Class	Number of proteins predicted as BL by DeepBL/ Annotated as BL by UniProt	Number of proteins predicted as BL by β-LacFamPred/ Annotated as BL by UniProt	Number of class B predicted as BL and their sub-class prediction	Number of families in which predicted BLs were distributed as per β-LacFamPred
А	2876/80	86/77	-	26
			21 (B1)	5
В	665/91	246/145	2 (B2)	1
			223 (B3)	3
С	335/13	67/15	2	10
D	231/15	29/15	-	2
Total	4107/199	428/252	246	47

### Number of proteins predicted as BL by DeepBL and β-LacFamPred and annotation statistics of UniProt therein

C N	m	Prediction Loois									
5. NO.	ID	DeepBL	Uni	ProtKB	β-LacFamPred						
1,	Q9EZQ7	Class A	Class-A Beta Lactamase	Beta-lactamase AST-1	Class A	1	AST				
2.	Q9S424	Class A	Class-A Beta- Lactamase	Beta-lactamase SHV-13	Class A		SHV				
3.	P28585	Class A	Class-A Beta-	Beta-lactamase	Class A		СТХМ				

#### Comparative prediction outputs of DeepBL, UniProtKB and β-LacFamPred

11.	A6V707	Not Beta- Lactamase	Class-B Beta- Lactamase	Metallo- Beta-Lactamase	Class B	Sub- class B3	LI	
12,	O31760	Not Beta- Lactamase	Class-B Beta- Lactamase	Metallo- Beta-Lactamase	Class B	Sub- class B1	IMP	

The results showed that the number of false positive predictions in  $\beta$ -LacFamPred was significantly lower than DeepBL and  $\beta$ -LacFamPred can be used to predict and annotate new BLs that are not known yet.

6.	P26918	Class B	Class-B Beta- Lactamase	Metallo- Beta-Lactamase type 2 cphA	Class B	Sub- class B2	CPHA	13.	A0A0H2UR93	Class A	Glucosyl transferase 3	Gtf3 glucosyl- transferase family	Non-Beta-Lactamase
7.	A0A096ZEC9	Class A	Class-B Beta- Lactamase	Metallo- Beta-Lactamase type 2 cphA	Class B	Sub- class B2	СРНА						
8.	O05465	Class C	Class-C Beta- Lactamase	Beta-lactamase ampc	Class C	10 <b>-</b> 10	AmpC	14.	B6I4P3	Not Beta- Lactamase Not	L-rhamnose mutarotase	Rhamnose mutarotase family	Non-Beta-Lactamase
9.	B3U538	Class D	Class-D Beta- Lactamase	Beta-lactamase OXA-133	Class D		OXA	15.	V6F4W4	Beta- Lactamase	Magnetosome protein MamZ	Major facilitator superfamily	Non-Beta-Lactamase
10.	Q00983	Class D	Class-D Beta- Lactamase	Beta-lactamase LCR-1	Class D	-	LCR						

#### **β-LacFamPred Web-server and Standalone Tool**



The overall schema of the prediction

methodology of the tool



A snapshot of the search and prediction page of the 'β-LacFamPred' web server

#### Acknowledgement





